# STEM in Food Science in Technology and Living

### **Sensory Evaluation**

In research and development of food, sensory evaluation is carried out to understand the food and food market.

Sensory evaluation of food samples involves five senses:

- Sight
- Smell
- Touch
- Taste
- Hearing

There are various methods of sensory evaluation. The following shows the principles and templates of two of the methods:

- Quantitative Descriptive Analysis (QDA)
- Paired Comparison Test

#### **Quantitative Descriptive Analysis (QDA)**

Panel of QDA is formed by a small number, usually 8-15 of experienced panelist. Product evaluations are performed by each panelist individually, usually in isolated booths. Standard sensory practices such as sample coding, booth lighting, expectorating and rinsing between samples are used for the evaluation phase.

A line anchored with words generated by the panel is used. The resulting data can be analysed statistically. QDA may be used to completely describe the sensory sensations associated with a product from initial visual assessment to aftertaste, or panelists may be instructed to focus on a narrow range of attributes such as texture descriptors.

### **Sensory Evaluation Ballot for Quantitative Descriptive Analysis**

Sample number: \_\_\_\_\_

Evaluate each se	nso	ry attribute and put a mark on each line:	
<u>Example</u>	a)	Mark here to indicate degree of crispness.  Crispy	
		Not at all	Very
1. Appearance	a)	Yellow hue	
		Weak	Strong
	b)	Viscosity	
2. Avono		Thin	Thick
2. Aroma	a)	Burnt	
	!	Not at all	Very
	b)	Not at all	Voru
3. Taste		Not at all	Very
	a)	Spicy	
	b)	Not at all Herby	Very
		Not at all	Verv

### **Paired Comparison Test**

Paired comparison test is a type of discrimination test. The researcher wants to determine whether the two samples differ in a specified dimension, such as sweetness, yellowness, crispness. The two samples are presented to the panelist simultaneously and the panelist is asked to identify the sample that is higher in the specified sensory attribute.

Paired Comparison Score Sheet									
Please rinse your mouth with water before starting. There are two samples for you to evaluate. Taste each of the coded samples in the sequence presented, from left to right. Take the entire sample in your mouth. NO RETASTING. Are the samples the same or different? Circle the corresponding word. Rinse with water between samples and expectorate all samples and water. Then proceed to the next set and repeat the tasting sequence.									
	Sample no.	Sample no.	Same	Different					

#### Reference:

Lawless, H. T., & Heymann, H. (2010). *Sensory evaluation of food: Principles and practices*. New York: Springer.

## **SENSORY DESCRIPTORS (Tasting words)**

We use our senses to evaluate what we eat, so sensory descriptors are words for describing the appearance, taste and texture of food.

The following word bank gives examples of words used for food tastings. The list is not exhaustive, add your own words to the list. Some words fit under more than one heading.

SENSORY DESCRIPTORS						
Appearance (Looks) – colour, aesthetics	<b>Texture</b> (Mouthfeel) – how food and drink feels in your mouth		Taste, flavour and smell			
Appetising	Airy	Lumpy	Acid			
Attractive	Brittle	Mushy	Bitter			
Boring	Chewy	Powdery	Bland			
Bright	Cold	Rubbery	Burnt			
Clear	Crispy	Slimy	Creamy			
Cold	Crumbly	Smooth	Dry			
Colourful	Crunchy	Soft	Fatty			
Crumbly	Dry	Soggy	Fishy			
Dry	Fine	Springy	Fruity			
Dull	Firm	Sticky	Herby			
Fattening	Fizzy	Stiff	Old			
Fresh	Flaky	Stringy	Peppery			
Fruity	Foamy	Tender	Salty			
Glowing	Greasy	Thick	Sharp			
Greasy	Gritty	Thin	Sickly			
Healthy	Hard	Tough	Smoky			
Hot	Hot	Watery	Soggy			
Moist	Juicy	Warm	Sour			
Mouth-watering			Spicy			
Smooth			Stale			
Soggy			Sweet			
Tasty			Watery			
			Wet			
			Tasteless			
			Tasty			
			Undercooked			